

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	/ENTOR	А	TTORNEY DOCKET	У О.,
097690,044	10/15/00	LIMPERC		,	a a waa aa	, .E.C
007470 WHITE % CAS		HM12/0514		FAN J	XAMINER	
PATENT DEPA 1155 AVENUE NEW YORK NY	OF THE AME	ERICAS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMI	BER
				DATE MAILED:	05/14/01	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Office Action Summary Caminer									
## Examiner ## Linkt Jane T. Fan Jane Jane T. Fan J	,		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Jane T. Fan Jane T. Fan Jane T. Fan Jane T. Fan Jaca T.		Office Action Summary	09/690,044)9/690,044 LINDBERG ET AL.					
Period for Reply A SHORTENDE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 1 Security of the major by a mailing date of this communication. 1 If the priod for major by a mailing date of this communication. 1 If the priod for major by a specified above, the macrimum statutory period will apply and will expire \$3X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 1 If the priod for major is a specified above, the macrimum statutory period will apply and will expire \$3X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 1 If the priod for major is a specified above, the macrimum statutory period will apply and will expire \$3X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for major is applicated above, the macrimum statutory period will apply and will expire \$3X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, or major in the mailing date of this communication, over if timely filed, may reduce any examined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ■ datassions of times may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.139 (a) in no event, however, may a reply be limitely filled within \$1.000 from the			Jane T. Fan	1625					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estamblismos time may be surfable under the provisions of 37 CRR 1.138 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filled after SX (b) MoNTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is appelled above, his maximum statisticy period will apply and will expire SX (b) MoNTH'S from the mailing date of this communication (reply specified above, his maximum statisticy period will apply and will expire SX (b) MoNTH'S from the mailing date of this communication (reply specified above, his maximum statisticy period will apply and will expire SX (b) MoNTH'S from the mailing date of this communication, even if limely filled, may reduce any carmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CRR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on			ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.8.9.19.21.22 and 35-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8.9 and 36-42 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.19.21.22 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/256,174 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any earn	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.8.9.19.21.22 and 35-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8.9 and 36-42 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/256,174. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.						
4) Claim(s) 1.8.9.19.21.22 and 35-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8.9 and 36-42 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.19.21.22 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/256,174. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	3)□								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8.9 and 36-42 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1.19.21.22 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/256,174. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	Disposit	ion of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.19.21.22 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/256,174. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8,9,19,21,22 and 35-42</u> is/are pending in the application.							
6) Claim(s) 1.19.21.22 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/256,174 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)		4a) Of the above claim(s) 8,9 and 36-42 is/are	withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/256,174. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)	5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
8) ☐ Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/256,174. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)	6)🖾)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,19,21,22 and 35</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers 9	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/256,174. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)	8)[Claims are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
10)	Applicat	ion Papers							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/256,174. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/256,174. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	10)	<u> </u>							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/256,174 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 19 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15).	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>08/256,174</u> . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>08/256,174</u> . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)									
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>08/256,174</u> . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)									
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>08/256,174</u> . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)		<u> </u>							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)	<u> </u>								
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 18) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 18) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)	14)[_j	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e).					
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)	Attachmen	nt(s)							
. —	6) 🔲 Not	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal						

Art Unit: 1625

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1, 19, 21-22, 35, drawn to an optical pure compound, composition containing the same and method of using, classified in classes 514, 546, subclass 270+.

- II. Claims 36-37, drawn to an intermediate, classified in class 546, subclass270+
- III. Claims 8-9, 38-42, drawn to a process of preparing the compound of group

 I, classified in class 546, subclass 270+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because: Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the separation of diastereomeric mixture can be done by using chiral stationary-phase liquid chromatography as shown in Katsuki et al..

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as pharmaceuticals and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/690,044

Art Unit: 1625

submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Genova on May 8, 2001 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1, 19, 21-22, 35.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 8-9, 36-42 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 19, 21-22, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the following references:

1. Pat' 4,738,974, note example 6.

whateted results

are experted

Art Unit: 1625

2.. DE 4,035,455. Note page 5, lines 30-36 and page 9, lines 30-31. It is noted that optically pure compound is encompassed in the recemate. To obtain a solid compound in crystal form is conventional.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1, 19, 21-22, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,900,424. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claims 1, 19, 21-22, 35 are directed to the same invention as that of claims of commonly assigned 5,900,424. The issue of priority under 35 U.S.C. 102(g) and possibly 35 U.S.C. 102(f) of this single invention must be resolved.

Since the Patent and Trademark Office normally will not institute an interference between applications or a patent and an application of common ownership (see MPEP § 2302), the assignee is required to state which entity is the prior inventor of the conflicting subject matter. A terminal disclaimer has no effect in this situation since the basis for refusing more than one patent is priority of invention under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) and not an extension of monopoly.

Failure to comply with this requirement will result in a holding of abandonment of this application.

Art Unit: 1625

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 19, 21-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 4,738,974, 5,877,192, 5,714,504. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because magnesium salt is encompassed in the claims.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

all hot results

Art Unit: 1625

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 1,19, 21-22,35 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application No. 09/077,719. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they have the same compound in different form depending on how the compound was prepared (crystalization).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. A proper composition claim should state an effective amount of the active ingredient for a specific use supported by the specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jane T. Fan whose telephone number is 703-308-4705. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am-3:30pm, Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 1625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Venkat can be reached on 703-308-2439. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4734 for regular communications and 703-308-4734 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Jane T. Fan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

May 9, 2001

JANE FAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200